DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 133 641

CG 010 982

AUTHOR TITLE

Snow, Jerry; And Others
Tutorial Assistance Program. Evaluation (Spring 1975).

INSTITUTION

Texas Univ., Austin. Office of the Dean of Students.

PUB DATE .

75 45p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

*Academic Achievement; *College Students; Educational Research; Higher Education; *Predictor Variables; Program Evaluation; Questionnaires; Student Ability; *Tutorial Programs; *Tutoring; *Tutors

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the Tutorial Assistance Program evaluation was to determine the impact of tutoring on student achievement and academic abilities. Three questionnaires were completed by 178 males and 163 females at the University of Texas at Austin who received tutoring in the 1975 spring semester. One questionnaire was completed prior to tutoring and two after tutoring, one being an ananymous evaluation of their tutors effectiveness. Major\findings were: (1) tutor's sense of humor predicted course grade at the .05 significance level; (2) the greater the number of hours the student spent in tutoring, the higher his/her course grade was likely to be; (3) although tutor effectiveness variables alone did not predict student success or failure in their courses, acombination of GPA and tutor patience, dependability and sense of humor did; and (4) tutoring seemed to be more highly predictive of course grade than overall GPA, indicating the effectiveness of tutoring. The major conclusion was that tutoring helped students to perform at their usual level in particularly troublesome courses, although improvement in a given course was difficult to evaluate. (Author)

U S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN'
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

TUTORIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM **EVALUATION** (SPRING 1975) *.

Jerry Snow, William A. Bryan Susan Ohm, Bernie D. Yancey Peggy Barr, Nancy D. pittmar

RB--TAP-021275

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPRODUCTION DUTSION THE EFIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER.

DEAN OF STUDENTS OFFICE THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

CONTENTS

EC	CTION,	PA	GE [']
• .	`Introduction		`1
	Statement of the Problem	<i>a</i>)	2
	Methodology		3.
,	Sample		- 3
•	Instruments		4
	Procedure		
	Presentation of Data		6
-	Questions		6
	Discussion		
	Findings and Implications	. 2	26
	Findings		26
`	Implications for Future Programming		29
	Implications for Future		
	Program Evaluation	. 2	9
	Selected References.	. 3	0
	Appendices	. 3	1
	Appendix A - Enrollment Form		,
	Appendix B - Exit Evaluation Form	. 3	4.
	Appendix C - Tutor Evaluation Form	3	5
	Appendix D - Student Tutor Evaluation	۰ . 3	6

ERIC

TUTORIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM EVALUATION SPRING 1975

Bernie D. Yancey, Peggy Barr, Nancy D. Dittmar

Historically, academic assistance in colleges and universities has been the domain of either faculty advisors or a counseling center. By allocating staff time for the development of instruction in the basic reading and study skills necessary for a successful learning experience, counseling centers have provided a fertile ground for the development of specialized learning centers. As the amount of staff time allocated by the counseling center for academic assistance increased, a separate program with staff and facilities of its own often developed. A good example of this type of program development is the Reading and Study Skills Laboratory (RASSL), a program component of the Counseling-Psychological Services Center, at U.T. Austin.

Another type of academic assistance often offered at the college level is. tutoring, i.e., course-related assistance. The Tutorial Assistance Program (TAP), at U.T. Austin, developed to assist the student who is encountering difficulty in specific courses, is a blending of services and programs of the Office of the Dean tudents and RASSL. A RASSL staff member, who has a joint appointment with the Special Services Program in the Office of the Dean of Students, is responsible for the development and implementation of the training program for student tutors and tutor consultants.

Students seeking tutorial assistance are seen in an intake interview designed to assess their learning difficulty prior to assignment or referral.

Although TAP may be utilized by any U.T.-Austin student who is in need of tutoring, the primary target populations are the economically disadvantaged, the physically disabled, veterans, and minority students.

The Dean of Students Office and the Counseling-Psychological Services

Center share the task of aiding students in their development. Both agencies ascribe to the preventative developmental model for student services. This model aims at developing competencies needed by the individual to obtain success in his/her life, rather than responding only to crisis resolution (Oetting, 1967; Morriall & Hurst, 1971). The essential view of the developmental model is that if students are equipped with the necessary skills to succeed, the outcome of success becomes cumulative, and the student will continue his/her own development.

Statement of the problem

Given the lack of relevant research on tutoring and tutoring programs, the importance of a thorough evaluation of the Tutorial Asssistance Program is evident. A growing body of program data is essential for future planning, training, and evaluation of the delivery of learning support services.

The Spring 1975 Tutorial Assistance Program evaluation attempted to assess the program's effectiveness during the 1975 spring semester. The main purpose of this study was to determine the impact of tutoring on student achievement and academic abilities. Specifically, this study attempted to determine:

- 1. What was the relationship between the student's initial problem, tutoring, and achievement?
- 2. What were the tutors' perceptions of their training, the students with whom they worked, and their personal development while working with TAP?
- 3. What were the students' perceptions of their tutors, the help they received, and their academic ability after tutoring?

Methodology

Included in this section are a description of the sample, a discussion of instruments used, and an outline of methods.

Sample

The sample consisted of 178 male students and 163 female students who received tutoring through the Tutorial Assistance Program during the 1975 spring semester. Table 1 presents the sex and ethnicity of students who utilized the Tutorial Assistance Program (TAP) during the 1975 spring semester. The students participating in TAP during the 1975 spring semester were divided into subpopulations according to who paid for the tutoring services. The sub-populations are: Financial Aids (135 students), Veterans (29), Special Services (24), and Self Pay (51).

Students Using Tutorial Assistance Program, Spring 1975,
By By Sex and Ethnicity

•	·	. •	· · ·	Sex			•	e
•		Male			Female	4 .	Row To	tal
Ethnicity	Number	% of Males	% of , Total	Number	% of Males	% of Total	Number	% of Ethnicity
Anglo	92	51.7	26.9	68	41.5	19.9	160	46.8
Black	30	16.8	. 8.8	44	26.8	12:9	74	21.6
Mexicán				•	•) '	•.	•
American	54	30.3	15.8	47	28.6	13.7	101	29.3
Oriental	0	_	_	`. . 1/	0:6	0.3	1 .	0.3
Other	2	1.1	0.6	4	2.4	1.2	6	1.8
Column Total	178	99.9 ^a		164	100.1 ^a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	342	99.8 ^a
Percent of To			52.1			48.0	342	100.0

Values vary from 100 due to rounding error.

Instruments

The four questionnaires provided the primary sources of data. The first of these questionnaires was an intake form (pre-tutoring) which was completed by the student upon entering the program. This questionnaire provided several pre-tutoring measure: (a) assessment of incoming concerns, (b) a measure of the student's perceptions of his own study abilities, and (c) demographic information on the student, and (d) information about the course in which the student was seeking help. The demographic information included such variables as classification, ethnicity, and population. Population refers to sources of funds for tutoring; i.e., Financial Aid, Veterans, Special Services or Self-Pay. An assessment by the student of his course grade upon seeking tutoring was also obtained (Grade Entering).

The second questionnaire as completed by students upon exit from the program (post-tutoring data). It provided data such as: (a) whether or not the student would return, (b) would students recommend TAP to their friends, (c) how helpful students thought tutoring was, (d) what grade students expected in the course, (e) were student expectations met, and (f) did students feel their academic abilities improved due to tutoring.

The third questionnaire was completed by the student anonymously upon exit from the program. Students were asked to rate their tutor(s) on eight variables related to tutoring effectiveness. The mean rating for each tutor by his students was considered a tutor effectiveness variable.

The fourth questionnaire was completed by each tutor and was used to indicate the tutors' perceptions of their students, themselves, their training, and the program. This evaluation questionnaire was completed at the close of the semester. Samples of these questionnaires are found in the appendices.

Procedure

Four tutor consultants worked with TAP during the 1974-75 academic year. They were upper division or graduate students with outstanding academic records. Tutor consultants were responsible for the initial interview, diagnostic work, and assignment to tutoring for all students requesting assistance. In addition, they supervised student tutors and assisted in the implementation of the student tutor training program.

All tutors received an orientation to TAP procedures and philosophy of learning prior to being assigned as a tutor. Ongoing training for each tutor consisted of at least one individual consultation session with a tutor consultant, one subject area meeting, and two group tutor meetings during the 1975 spring semester.

Each tutor was evaluated by his students on the tutor effectiveness questionnaire. A mean score on each variable for each tutor was used as a variable called "tutoring effectiveness". Tutoring effectiveness is considered an intervention variable.

Tutor training emphasized an attitude of flexibility toward the three essential components of the tutoring process: (a) planning or preparation; (b) teaching, helping, or coaching, and (c) evaluation or assessment.

Students met one hour weekly with their tutor until they no longer requested assistance. In instances where student need was greater, more than one hour a week of tutoring was scheduled. Only in a few instances did students meet sporadically with their tutors.

The facilities for tutoring were small insulated compartments, hall tables, or classrooms available within the Speech Building at the University of Texas.

The student and tutor had their choice of these areas.

Appointments were scheduled initially by intake staff (tutor consultants) at a mutually convenient time for student and tutor between 10:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M. Monday through Friday. Subsequent appointments were made by the student and tutor at the close of each session. Assignment of a student to a tutor was done primarily on the basis of schedule compatibility.

Presentation of Data

In addition to the data from the questionnaires, several other measures were acquired at the end of the semester for each of the students in the program. These measures include semester and cumulative grade point averages, the number of hours of tutoring per student per course, and the final grade in each course for which the student sought help (final course grade). Except for the hours of tutoring, obtained from tutor's payroll voucher, the information was obtained from student records maintained by the University. The final course grade was considered the primary criterion variable in this study and was used to indicate success or impact of the tutoring.

The relevance of the obtained data to the questions which were asked is considered in the following section. Summaries of the data and statistical analyses performed are presented with respect to each of the questions asked. Only the .01 and .05 levels of statistical significance will be referred to in the presentation.

Questions

I. Will tutoring effectiveness and number of hours of tutoring be a better predictor of the students final grade in the course than population, classification or initial problem in the course?

-7-

Discriminant analysis and analysis of variance were used to determine the nature of the relationships between final course grade and (a) the tutor effectiveness variables and (b) hours of tutoring. An analysis of variance for final grade in the course (A,B,C,D,E) and tutor effectiveness variables showed that only the variable "sense of humor" significantly contributed to the determination of course grade at the .05 level. Hours of tutoring was also a significant predictor of final course grade at the .02 level or less. More hours of tutoring resulted in a higher course grade. A summary of the means for these two variables broken down by final course grade is found in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Means For Sense Of Humor And Hours Tutoring
Broken Down By Final Course Grade

	<u>`</u> . <u></u>		<u>. ^</u>		
	Sense of I	Humor *	Hours of Ti	utoring 🖈	r¥
Grade	Number of	Meana	Number of	Mean	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	students		students	•	•
Α	15	3.61	16	4.13	
В	· 40	3.77	43	3.30	•
. С	59	3.58	69 🥆 ·	3.17	•
D ,	28 ·	3.49	33 .	3.12	
F	19 ·	3.43	22	3.14	

The students were then divided into two groups, successful (A,B,C) and unsuccessful (D,F) according to final course grade. A subsequent discriminant analysis using only tutor effectiveness variables to predict successful or unsuccessful students was nonsignificant. However, the results of a stepwise discriminant analysis indicated that in conjunction with semester grade point

average and course grade upon seeking tutoring, the tutor effectiveness variables produced a discriminant function which correctly predicted 38 of 58 unsuccessful students and 141 of 141 successful students. Thus overall, a 90% correct prediction was realized. The tutor effectiveness variables which significantly contributed to prediction accuracy were patience, sense of humor and dependability.

Question 1 was tested by a Chi-Square analysis. The variables used were population (who paid for tutoring), classification, and initial problem. None of the Chi-squares were significant. Coupled with previous findings, hours of tutoring, semester grade point average, entering course grade and three tutor effectiveness variables (--patience, sense of humor, and dependability--) are better predictors of final course grade than either population, classification, or initial problems presented:

2. Will tutoring effectiveness (students' ratings of tutors) be more highly related to the students' course grade than to their overall grade point average?

An analysis of variance was performed to determine how well the tutor effectiveness variables would function as predictors of overall grade point average (A.B.C.D.F). None of the comparisons proved significant. These results along with the previously reported results of an analysis of variance for tutor effectiveness variables and final course grade do not present enough evidence to conclusively resolve the question. A resolution to this question is further hindered due to the positive relationship between overall grade point average and final course grade as demonstrated in Table 3. However, since tutor effectiveness variable ratings are more highly correlated with course grade than with overall grade point average (Table 3), there is some evidence to indicate a

slightly stronger relationship between the tutor effectiveness variables and final course grade than between tutor effectiveness variables and overall grade point average.

3. Will post-tutoring grades (final course grade) be significantly higher than pre-tutoring grades (course grade upon seeking tutoring)?

The small n involved (n=10 for course grade upon emering tutoring) and the existence of zero cells in a Chi-square analysis makes the results non-interpretable. Therefore, Table 4 is presented for information only.

Intercorrelation Of Treatment And Outcome Variables For TAP Students

/ariables	13	2	3	.4	['] 5	6	,1.	8 _	9	10	11	12	13	14
Grade entering	1.00		I,		Į.	, .								·
Final grade	.4.791**	1.00	·			I control		,	<u> </u>		•	. *	•	
Number of Fee	(n=7)		·	4			, .		, ,			,	4,	
Number of hours tutoring	459	.176***		,				,-	<u> </u>			·		
caror ing.	(n=7)	(n=183)	1.00						•	•		41		
utor effectiveness														
Knowledge of sub-	.169	.082	.071							į.			,	
ject area	(n=7)	(n=162)	.u/i (n≈195)	1.00		,			4				,	1
Flexibility /	.259	.089	.078	.718**						_		r - 1	•	
N-41	(n=7)	(n=161)	_(n=194)	(n=208)	1.00	•				,	-			-
Patience	, 161	150*	184**	414**	.521**					``	7.	1		•
Conco of himse	(n=7)	(n=161),	(n=194)	(n=208)	(n=208)	1.00					,	, ,	١.	
Sense de humor	.162	.207**	273+*	.307+4	. 321 ***		 			· · · · · ·		` (· .	
Stimulating	(n=7) 126	(n=161)	(n=194)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	1.00			,	1	•	,	٠,
	(n=7)	.086 (n=161)	.045	439**	765**	.505+1	.285**			,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·•	· '
Encouraged Inde-	:252	.119	,(n=194) , .239**	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	1.00	,		, ,	, ,	· .,	
pendence.	(n=7)	(n=161)	(n=194)	.533** (n=208)		.574**	.710**		··	1				
Dependable.	.259	.026	.033	.140*	(n=208) ,215**	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	1.00		٠,	1		
i 1 3	(n=7)	(n=161)	(n=194)	(n=208)	(n=208)	00) (n=208)	033	.061	.228**					
Inderstanding ·	.245	.164*	.088	.211**	.584**	48]**	(n=208) 372**	(n=208)	(n=208)	1.00	·	٠	8	
quelity	(n=7)	(n=161)	(n=194)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	.728** (n=208)	536**			1		
iquality ~	245	.106	. 055	.040	.674**	.561**	370**	.759**	(n=208) •562	(n=208)	1.00	. 1		
emester GPA	(N=7)	(n=161)	(n=194)	(n=208)	(n=207)	(n=207)	(n=207)	(n=207)	. 302 (n=207)	.105	.753			
AMERICA MIN	159 (n=7)	.743**	.088	.056	.010	. 169	. 059	.045	.014	(n=207) .023	(n=207)	1.00		
verall GPA	252	(n=198) ` .647**	(n=248)	(n=209)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	.024 / /n=2001	.037	1 00	,
* ***	(n=7)	.04/** (n=198)	.092 (n=240)	.034	028	.012	018	006	057	.016	(n=208) .023	(n=208)	1.00	
	111	/II- 130/	(n=248)	(n=209)	_(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)	(n=208)		.008 (n=208) ¤	.681##	

13 * p .05

-10

ERIC

TABLE 4
Final Course Grades By Course Grade Upon
Entering Tutoring

		Final	Coursé G	rade	Row Total
Entering Course Grade	D	· C/_	В	Α	
C B A	0 0	0 3 2	2 0 0	0 1· 0 0	2 4 2 2
CR Column Total	1	6	2	1	. 10
Chi-square = 15.42		df = 9			· •

4. Will there be a significantly higher number of initial problems presented by Special Services students than by the other populations?

Data related to this question are presented in Table 5. The Chi-square was not significant.

TABLE 5

Tabulation Of The Number Of Initial Problems
By Students From Four Populations Served By
Tutorial Assistance Program

Number of					•		pula	ation	- <u></u>		elf Pay		Tot	al:
Initial	Fin	ancial	Aid		Vetera	ns :	spec.	ial Ser	Aicez	, ,	eli ruj		,,,,	
Problems	·	Studen	ts	<u> </u>		, , 	<u> </u>	<u>tudents</u>			0 .£	% of		
	•	% of	% of		% of	% of		% of	% of	п	% of	Total	#	%
	#	Pop	Total	#	Pop _	Tota,	#	Pop	Total	#	Pop :		46	19.2
0	30	22.2	12.6	4	13.8	1.7	· 5	20.8	2.1	',	13./	2.9	14	5.8
ĭ	8	5.9	3.3	3	10.3	1.3	0	0.0	0.0	3	5.9	1.3	22	9.2
2	·14	10.4	5.9	2	6.9	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	6	17.8	2.5		16.7
• 2	21	15.6	8.8	6	20.7	2.5	5	20.8	2.1	8,	123.7	3.3	40	
· A	16	11.9	6.7	4	13.8	1.7	4	16.7	1.7	8.	15.7	3.3	32	13.4
-	17	12.6	7.1	- 2	6.9	0.8	2	8.3	0.8	8	15.7	3.3	29	12.1
6	29	21.5	12.1	8	27.6	3.3	8	33.3	<u>3.3</u>	<u> 11</u>	21.6	<u>4.6</u>	56	23.4
				• .	100.0	12.1	24	99.9a	10.0	51	100.1 ^a	21.2,	239	99.8 ^d
<u>Total</u>	135	100.1ª	30.3	23	100.0	16.1		·, .						
, :		· · ·	•	. N	lean Nu	mber o	f 'Pr	oblems	For P	opu	<u>lation</u>		<u> </u>	-000
		3.125	<u> </u>	<u>_</u>	3.4			3.70	8′_		3.470		3	.292

Chi-square = 11.552 df = 18

Note: Populations were defined according to the agency paying for tutorial assistance.

a Values vary from 100 due to rounding error.





5. Will there be a significant difference in the kind of problems presented by the different populations?

The results in Table 6 indicate that the kinds of problems presented by the different populations are essentially similar and do not differ by population. The Chi-square result was not significant. The number of zero scores and near zero scores should be noted in interpreting these results.

6. Will there be a significant positive relationship between tutors' perception of their own improved academic abilities and (a) perceptions of their training, (b) perceptions of the helpfulness of tutoring to their students, and (c) their utilization of study skills materials.

The data presented in Table 7 show that while both the perceived helpfulness of tutoring and utilization of training materials are significally associated with tutor improved academic abilities, the training program itself was not.

Table 8 shows tutor rankings as to usefulness for several sources of their learning. Tutors considered the students they tutored, subject area meetings, and textbooks as the most useful sources of learning. They considered other tutors, study skills books, and tapes as the least useful sources of learning for them (all three were rated under 3, on a scale of 1-5). Program sources of learning were all rated as useful (Table 8).

Type Of Initial Problem Presented By Number^a Of Students In Each Of Four Populations Served By TAP

Y	•	*	•	1			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· .	
		0	A Profession	The same of the sa	_				•		•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1.	Pop	ulation	Classifi				
	44	No /		nancial	٠		•	ecial	Self		
	Ident	tification		<u> Aids</u>	<u> </u>	eterans	"Serv		Pay	Tota	
Kind of Initial Problem		Pop /	•	Pop '		Pop	Y4.	Pop	Pop	R	lòw
•	#	% <u>}</u>	* #	%	#	%	#	% ¹	* *	# ,	<u>%</u>
Previous schooling	1	5.6%	26	~6.2%	3	3.0%	<u>/ / 6</u>	6.7%	10 5.7%	<u> </u>	7%
Absence from academia		5.6%	14.	• 3.3%	7	7.1%	6.	6.7%	8 4.5%	36 4	.5%
General difficulties with		-	•		1				• /		
'this type of course	2 .	11.1%	46	10.9%	12	12.1%		12.4%	21 1.9%	<u> </u>	
New terminology	<u>.11.</u>	5.6%	20	4.7%	10	10.1%		.3,4%	12 6.8%	46 5	
Understanding new concepts	<u>;</u> 0	0.0%	48	11.4%	· 14	14.1%	9	10.1%	• 20 11.4%	· · · 91× 11	.3%
Application of information			•		•		5.2	- 14 14			- 44
learned	2.	11.1%	65	15.4%	<u> 16</u>	16.2%	12	13.5%	22 12.5%	117 14	.6%
General requirements of			- t-,								
this course	1_	5.6%	<u>.7</u>	1.7%	5	5:1%	3	3.4%	5 . 2.8%	21 2	.6%
Reading and understanding	· ·	t .		•		l.			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	\mathbf{I}	
assignment	2	11.1%	12	2.8%	3	3.0%	. 6	6.7%	7 4.0%		.7%
Listening and taking notes	. 0	0.0%	13	3.1%	0	0.0%	.2	2.2%	4 2.3%	19, 1/2	
Organizing work	0	0.0%	· 13	3.1%	- 6	6.1%	2	2.2%	0.6%		.7%
Memory	0	0.0%	24.	5.7%	<u>3</u>	3.0%	. 6	.6.7%	13 7.4%	46 5	1/6
Grammar and/or writing		• .									
s kills ,	2	11.1%	10	2.4%	0	0.0%	3	3.4%	4 2.3%		.4%
Concentration	0	0.0%	19	4.5%	2	2.0%	• 3	3.4%	5 2.8%		.6%
Preparation and taking test	S	5.6%	¹ 37	8.8%	5_	5.1%	8	9.0%	13 .7.4%		.0%
Lack of self-confidence		5.6%	. 17	4.0%	<u>3.</u>	3.0%	2	2.2%	9 5.1%		.0%
Heavy work load	. 2	11.1%	. 12	2.8%		1.0%	0 t.	0.0%	6 3.4%		.6%
Nervous on tests	0	0.0%	10_	2.4%	<u> </u>	3.0%	2	2.2%	5 2.8%		.5%
Medical problems	.0	0.0%	2	0.5%	2_	2.0%	-0	0.0%	1 0.6%		.6%
Lack of motivation		5.6%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0 0.0%	4 0	.5%
Difficulty in understanding			1	r.	•				1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
the professor	1_	45.6%	<u>+ 17</u>	4.0%	3	3.0%	3	40 170			.0%
Other	· 0	0.0%	. 1'	1.7%	,1	1.0% -	2	2.2%	2 1.1%	, 12, 1	.5%
Total # of problems by -	1.1		٠,		_ ر				180	7,	, t.
Population 150	18	<u>'</u>	422		99	12.3%	89	17.1%	176 21.9%	804	0.0%
Percent of total problems		2.2%		52.5%							

¹⁷ Chi-square = 80:87846 df = 80

ERIC te: Populations were defined according to the agency paying for the tutorial assistance.

Students could check more than one problem.

TABLE 7

Kendall Tau Correlations For Tutors' Perceptions Of Their Own Improved Academic Abilities And (1) Training, (2) Helpfulness Of Tutoring, and (3) Utilization Of Study Skill Materials

Helpfulness Training Resources		f Their Own Improved Helpfulness of Tutoring	Utilization of S Skill Materials	tudy
Tutor's students	.022 (<u>n</u> =31)	Helpfulness .361* (<u>n</u> =35)	Handouts	.238* (<u>n</u> =23)
Other tutors	.066 (<u>n</u> =24)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Study Skill Books	.489** (<u>n</u> =15)
Tutor consultants	°*003 (<u>n</u> =28)	~	Tapes	.515* (<u>n</u> =10)
Trainer	.153 (<u>n</u> =20)		•••	•
Texts	.181 (<u>n</u> =25)			_
Orientation	102 (<u>n</u> =32)		6	
Group meetings	051 (<u>n</u> =30)	7		
Subject area meetings	.008 (<u>n</u> =31)			•
RASSL	.349 (n=11)		v (1 · · · /	

^{* &}lt;u>p</u> .05 * ** <u>p</u> .01/

TABLE 8
Tutors a Rankings By Usefulness Of Sources
Of Learning Utilized

•	· 	,		· :		R	<u>an</u> ki	ngs					c	. "T	; • ·
Source	0	f Litt Help	le:	2	-					Very seful		d Not Useb,		n Rank efulne	
·	#	<u> </u>	•#.	-	. #	<u> </u>	• #	4	-#	<u> </u>	#	· % .			•
People _	,			•		and a final factor	•		٠, ،	:	•.	J. 17 4.			
Our Students	1	2.9	- ń	0.0	3	8.6	. 12	34.3	15	42.9	4	11.4	7	4.29	
Other tutors	5	14.3	. 4	11.4	-	14.3		22.9		5.7			•	2.29	
Tutor consul-	•	17.3	, TP	•	. ,	17.5		44.3	۲.	3.7		31.4			
tants	4	11.4.	` 3	8.6	, S	22.9	.5	14.3	Ω	22.9	. 7	20.0		3.35	
Reading and	· . •	1.0		0.0	U		* 5	14.5		LL. J		.J.		3.33	
Study Skills	4		· • •	•	i,		•		•		\$				
Specialists	'n	2.9	. 4	11.4	6	17 1	1	11.4	٠, ۲	14.3	15	4.29		3.40	
Materials	•	2.5	· •	110.4		15.1	7		. ,	17.3	13	4.23		3.40	
Handouts	4	11.4	1	. 20	΄ Δ	11 4	11	31 4	. 2	8 6	12	34.3	•	3.34.	
Study Skills	7	11.4		2.5	,	11.7		J1. T	J	, 0.0	14	54.5		J. JT.	
Books	-/1	11.4	3	8.6	· A	31.4	•	5.7	2	5.7	 	57		2.66	•
Tapes		14.3	٦ 1	2.9			1	2.9		2.9		71.4	•	2.20	
Texts		5.7	4		1	2.9	٥	22.9		28.6		28.6	•	3.80	
Programs -	. ~	3.7	7	11.4	. '	2.5	O	46.3	10	20.0	10	20.0		3.00	
Orientation	ำ	2.9	2	5.7	חת.	20.6	. 12	24 2	7	ລິດ ດ	. 2	8.6		3.68	, .
First General		2.5	. ~	3.7	, i U,	20.0	. 12	J 7 .J	•	20.0	´.	0.0		, 3.00	
~ ~	. 3	8.6	2	5.7	, 0	22.9	⊸ 0	22 0	٠,	1111	10	20 6	_	3.40	ં (
Tutor Group	. ,	0,0	4	3.7	0	26.3	. ,	22.3	,4	11.4	10	20.0	•	3.40	
Meetings .	2	8.6	, ı	2.9	٠ <u>.</u>	25.7	. 12	24 2	έ E	1/1 2	_	14.3	.,	3.50	
	3	0.0		2.3	7	25.7	12	34.3	. 3	14.3	ر.	14.5		3.50	
Subject Area		8.6	2	F 3	c	י זיינו	11.	21 4	_	25. 2		11 4		2 74	
Meetings RASSL	. 3		2	5.7		17.1		31.4	9		_	11.4	*	3.74	
MOSE	.4	5.7		2.9	4	11.4	_ 3	8.6		2.9	. 44	68.6	•	3,00	·

a $\underline{n} = 35$, total $b_{\bullet \text{was}}$ left blank if did not use-

7. Will there be a significant positive relationship between tutors perceptions of how helpful tutoring was for their students and (a) tutor training, (b) modes of assessment of students, and (c) perceptions of their own improved academic abilities?

Table 9 indicates that two training variables and the tutors' improved academic abilities were significantly related to the tutors' perceptions of nelpfulness of tutoring. The training variables are orientation and study skills books. Since there was no significant correlation between the tutors' rating of helpfulness of tutoring and helpfulness of modes of assessment, it appears that the tutors were evaluating the helpfulness of the tutoring for their students by means other than the ones listed in Table 9.

8. Will the student perceptions of tutor effectiveness be related to ethnicity?

Nine variables assessing tutor effectiveness resulted in only one significant ethnic difference in an analysis of variance of ethnicity by tutor effectiveness (Table 10). Only "sense of humor" was found to be significantly different. The differences between the mean "sense of humor" rating given by Chicanos and the other groups is significant (Student-Newman Keuhls Procedure). The eight other variables did not differ significantly for the different ethnic groups.

TABLE 9

Tutors' Perceptions Of How Helpful Tutoring Was To Their Students

Evaluation of sources. of learning	Evaluation of mo of assesment of students		Tutor's per ment of ac		
r		. r			r·
Tutor's students048 (<u>n</u> =31		214 (<u>n</u> =27)	Improved ability	academic	.360** (<u>n</u> =35)
Other tutors110 (<u>n</u> =24		033 (<u>n</u> =32)		•	· .
Tutor consultants .088 (<u>n</u> =28		.106 (<u>n</u> =32)	•	•	
Learning study ^ .197 skills specialists(<u>n</u> =20		(<u>n</u> =32)		25	•
Handouts .083 (<u>n</u> =23		.108 (<u>n</u> =26)			,
Study skills .464 bobks (<u>n</u> =15		123 -(<u>n</u> =30)	•	~	
Tapes .363 $(\underline{n}=10)$		*	3	•	
Texts .115 (<u>n</u> =25		•			e A
Orientation .197 (<u>n</u> =32		3 3		•	
First main session (114 (<u>n</u> =25)	•	; 			•
Group meetings .024 (<u>n</u> =30))	•			
Subject area .042 meetings (<u>n</u> =31)		17.	•	- •	
RASSL .153 (n=11)					• • • •

Analysis Of Variance Of Student's Evaluation
Of Tutors By Ethnicity Of Student

			•	Ethnic	ity /	<u>,</u>	_	•
Tutor variables	** Ang!		Bla (n=		- Chic		*	,
•	Mean	<u> ₹ S:Ð.</u>	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	F .	
Knowledge of subject	3.74	.56	3.78	.51	3.65	49	. 389	
Flexibility	3.55	` .51	3.57	. 79	3.38	. 70	. 526	
Patience	3.89	.32	3.74	.62	3.54	. 51	_ 2.789	
Sense of humor	3.90	.31	3.74	.54	3.31	.74~	6.714*	
Stimulating	3.53	.51	3.26	.86	3.20	.71	1.202	
Encouraged independence		.51	3.48	.59	ં 3 .31 િં•ે	. 74	.772	
Dependable	3.50	.83	3.62	.67	3.52	.82 ~	. 141	
Understanding	3.80	.41	3.44	.99	3.48	.80	1.352	
Equality	3.63	50_	3.52	73 _	<u>3.38</u>	80	.690	

Note: Means calculated for each tutor from each tutor's students' evaluations.

(1-not satisfactory, 4-very good)

p .002

9. Will students' perceptions (effectiveness ratings) of tutors be predictive of (related to) their ratings of the helpfulness of tutoring?

An analysis of variance was done to compare those students who viewed tutoring as more helpful (4,5) with those rating tutoring as less helpful (1,2,3) on a scale from 1-5. Students who rated tutors as more helpful also gave the tutors more favorable ratings on the tutor effectiveness variables (Table 11). This strengthens the validity of using these variables as evaluation criteria. A discriminate analysis resulted in a prediction equation which was able to predict with 89% accuracy the students rating of the helpfulness of tutoring. Sixty-three correct predictions were made out of a possible 71.

TABLE 11

Analysis Of Variance Of Students' Perception Of Tutors For Students Rating Tutoring As More Helpful (4,5) Versus Less Helpful (1,2,3)

	· .	·•••				
.	More he (n=55)	lpful		Less h (n=2	nelpful 23)	ب بيد ب ب
Perceptions of tutor	Mean .	S.D.	•	Mean	S.D.	. * F
Knowledge of subject matter	3.85	. 36		3, 22	.74 ~	26.688**
Flexibility	3.65	.52	•	2.92	. 78	24.779** .
Patience **	3.80	. 40		3.35	.71	12.590**
Sense of humor	3.75	. 52		3.25	.79	10.914**
Stimulating	3.51	. 54	-	2.68	89	24.804**
<pre>Encouraged independence</pre>	3.55	. 57		2.96	.71-	14.951**
Dependable	3.61	.71 .		3.23	.87	[⊕] 3.991*
Understanding	3.78	.46	N.	2.88	.97	32.047**
Equality	3.67	.55	•	2.87	.92	22.209**

a <u>n</u>=78, total * <u>p</u> .05 ** p .001

10. Will students' perceptions f tutors be significantly related to the grade they expect to earn in the tutored course?

The data presented in Table 12 indicate students who expected higher grades also saw their tutor more positively while students who expected lower grades viewed their tutor less positively.

TABLE 12

Kendall Tau Correlations For Student Perceptions Of Tutors And Expected Grade In Tutored Course(s)

		4
	Tutor variables	r
	Knowledge of subject matter	. 5381*
	•Flexibility	.5386*
	Patience	. 3528*
	Sense of humor	.4344*
	Stimulation	.4661*
	Encouraged independence	.4295*
	Dependable	.3534*
•	Understanding	.5966*
	Equality	.5291*

^{*} p .001

11. Will student perceptions of their own academic abilities after tutoring be significantly improved?

No tests of significance were run on the results reported in Table 13. Student exit evaluations indicated an overall positive evaluation for the tutorial program. Table 13 shows the students' positive responses to tutoring. The students' positive responses are related to improving course grade, academic ability and the accessiblity of the service. The data seem to indicate that students feel their abilities have improved.

TABLE 13

Frequencies And Percentages Of Students' Exit Evaluation

Frequencies And Percentages Of Students' Exit Evaluation
Of Tutoring On Variables Related To Improved Abilities

	· .	Ra	ting		
•	Posit	ive ;		Negat	ive
Variables	Number	Percent	<u>_</u>	Number	Percent
Expectations of tutoring meta	70	85.4		10	12.2
Help received was helpful ^C	71	89.0		9	- 11.0
Would recommend to friends ^b	72	88.9	_	9	11.1
Confidence in abilities					,
increased ^a	64	80.0		16	20.0
Feel comfortable coming back	•	•			
to TAPa	80	98.9		i i	. 1.2
``				-	

Note: Mean for helpfulness of tutoring is 4.05-on a 1-5 scale (5-very helpful)

a scale: positive-yes, negative-no
 b scale: positive-yes, negative-no, maybe

c scale: positive-3,4,5 (helpful), negative-1,2 (not helpful)

Discussion

This study basically attempted to find answers to three broad questions. The results for each of the three main questions will be discussed separately. Question one uses data which is both subjective (perceptual) and objective (grade earned) and is the primary service evaluation question.

Question 1: What is the relationship between the students initial problem, tutoring and achievement?

Tutor effectiveness variable ratings were highly favorable and thereby probably reduced real differences in the competency level of tutors. The contribution made by both tutor effectiveness ratings and number of hours of tutoring was not nearly as powerful as the students grade point average (GPA-overall or semester) in predicting c se grade. The students overall GPAs were the best predictors of course grades. This indicates the stability of GPA and its resistance to intervention.

The tutor variables of sense of hypor, patience, and dependability were, however, helpful discriminators of successful and unsuccessful students. These tutor characteristics are supportive and might be viewed as factors which diminish the feelings of discouragement often experienced by students who are facing academic obstacles. Those students completing a subjective evaluation of tutoring were satisfied with the program and showed an overall comfort with their tutors and the program. Proposing a single human stic component called "tutor effectiveness" was substantiated by a factor analysis on tutor variables which resulted in essentially one factor.

Neither the student's classification, population, nor initial problem was a significant predictor of performance.

Although no overwhelming evidence can be found for resolving the question of whether or not the tutor effectiveness variables are more closely related to final course grade than to overall grade point average, the results tend to indicate that this is the case. A failure to find more significant results could be due to the high correlation between overall grade point average and final course grade (Table 3). It should be remembered, however, that the tutor effectiveness variables were highly related to how the students viewed the "helpfulness" of the program. Such results in themselves justify a closer look at these variables with respect to their ability to measure student attitudes that might possibly be related to long term changes in their attitudes toward academ skills. A more positive attitude toward academic skills could be reflected by improved performance later in their careers.

Data in this study show no real differences in the number of initial problems and kinds of problems of the different subpopulations served by TAP. For the purpose of this program, problems expressed by less than 3% of the students were considered general strengths of TAP's population, while those expressed by more than 7% were viewed as general weaknesses (Table 14). In the TAP training program there might be an increased concentration in the training experience on those problems most often expressed by students: Tutors could work with these self expressed problems as indication of the goals and outcome areas of concern to the student.

TABLE 14
Initial Problems Presented to TAP

Less than 3%		More than 7%	
. Problem	Percentage	Problem	Percentage
General requirements		General difficulties	<u> </u>
of course	2.6%	with course	11.4%
Listening and taking		Understanding new	. 1, 6 1,70
notes	2.4%	concepts	11.3%
		Application of informa-	
Organizing work	2.7%	tion learned	14.6%
Grammar and/or		Preparation and taking	14.0%
writing	2.4%	tests	8.0%
Heavy work load	2.5%		0.0%
Nervous on tests	2.6%		
Lack of motivation	.5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Question 2: What are the tutors' perception of their training, students, and personal development while working with TAP?

The Tutorial Assistance Program's tutor training was not seen as a significant factor in improving the tutors' own academic abilities. However, tutors felt that the exposure to the handouts, study skills texts, and tapes did contribute to the improvement of their own abilities. This finding may indicate that when tutors attended sessions designed to help their students the tutors did not transfer this to their own world. The finding that the materials to which they

were exposed were helpful for those who used them may mean that when the tutors used the materials to answer a pre-formed question the materials became useful and were not merely a contributor to more unassimilated information.

A further exploration of RASSL's relationship to improved academic abilities is necessary to discover the impact of RASSL on tutors. RAASL's relationship to this question might be clearer if considered only with those students using the service.

The relationship between the tutors' perceived helpfulness of tutoring to their students and the tutors' own improved academic abilities is a finding which Bandura (1969) would interpret as the effects of modeling. Those tutors who are themselves open to change and are growing and integrating information t as models for growth and learning for the student.

The tutors' perceptions of the training program effectiveness is positively related to the perceived helpfulness of tutoring to students. This can be viewed as an assessment of the transferability from training to practice. Orientation appears as a significant contributor to helping tutors. Orientation explains the procedural format of TAP, the materials and supports available, a preliminary attitudinal set towards learning, tutoring, and problem solving.

The 'tutors' evaluations of the helpfulness of tutoring to their students was extremely skewed. One hundred percent of the tutors rated tutoring at least 3 or better on a scale of 5. The tutors, however, did not view any of the assessment techniques as indicative of how helpful tutoring was for their students. In addition, they stated no other means to evaluate the student's progress. This discrepancy indicates an intuitive manner of assessing helpfulness which may or may not be based on the tutor's experience.

Question 3: -What are the students' perceptions of their tutors, the help they received, and their own academic abilities after tutoring?



Previous research (McDougall, 1974) has shown that Mexican American students rated tutoring, their tutors, and their expected grades as significantly lower than Anglo or other ethnic groups. The data in this study however did not differ significantly for ethnic groups, except for the tutor variable "sense, of humor". The data indicate, however, a trend toward a depressed rating of Lutors by Mexican American students which while not significant, does raise questions about the service's relationship with Mexican American students. ceptions of students toward their tutors predict their perception of the help they received from sutoring and has implications for midterm evaluations of tutors, assignment of tutors, and hiring of tutors. Data presented in this study show "understanding" as the most important of the tutor variables, followed closely by "knowledge of subject matter" and "flexibility". These three variables are central concerns of the active tutor. To listen for understanding, to be confident with the factual information relevant to the student, and to be able to shift from one method to another to communicate information or skills are seen as paramount in helping another person overcome the obstacles of learning.

The inability of tutor variables to predict the actual grade earned may result from: (a) the small number of tutor evaluations returned, (b) a compressed rating scale, or (c) a single factorial nature of the evaluation instrument.

No statistical tests were run to determine the students' perceptions of their academic abilities after tutoring. However, data seem to indicate that the students' perceptions of their academic abilities were in fact improved (Table 13). The major focus of tutoring is on the particular course with which the student is experiencing difficulty, and a secondary emphasis is put on overall study skills. Given this information, it is noteworthy that students felt their academic ability had improved. Possibly this finding indicates the

global nature in which students respond to their environment. Research in counseling (Carkhuff, 1969) has indicated that when one area of functioning is improved to a level of competency then other areas of functioning have a tendency to improve as well.

Findings and Implications

Findings

A summary of the findings for this study follows:

- 1. An analysis of variance using course grades by tutor effectiveness variables found a significant difference between "sense of humor" (tutor variable) and grade for the course in which tutoring was received. It also found a significant positive relationship between hours of tutoring received and grade for the course in which tutoring was received.
- 2. In conjunction with the student semester grade point average, and grade upon entering the course, a prediction equation was produced which predicted whether students would be successful and unsuccessful (90% accuracy) in the course in which they received tutoring. The tutor effectiveness variables of patience, sense of humor and dependability contributed significantly to the accuracy of the prediction.
- 3. Tutor effectiveness variables alone did not significantly predict successful and unsuccessful students.
- 4. Data presented in this study indicated that neither the population from which the student was a member nor the kind of initial problem presented predicted future academic performance.
- 5. Tutoring effectiveness tended to be more related to the students course grade than to the student's overall grade point average.

- 6. Post-tutoring rades were not significantly higher than pre-tutoring grades.
- 7. Special Services students did not significantly differ from other populations when reporting number of initial problems. No significant differences were found in the kinds of problems presented by the different populations.
- 8. The tutors saw helpfulness of tutoring to students and training materials as being significantly associated with tutor/skill development.

 However, the training program itself was not significantly related to tutor skill development.
- 9. The tutor training variables of Orientation, study skill books, and tutor skill development were positively related to the tutors' perceived helpfulness of tutoring to their students.
- 10. There was a significant difference between ethnic groups and their mean rating of "sense of humor" as an important factor in tutor effectiveness. The Mexican American students rated tutors lower on "sense of humor" than did the other ethnic groups.
- 11. Significant differences were found between students who viewed tutoring as more helpful and those students who viewed tutoring as less helpful and their actual ratings of the following tutor variables: knowledge of subject matter, flexibility, patience, sense of humor, stimulating, encouraged independence, dependable, understanding and equality.
- 12. Student perceptions of tutors were positively related to the grade they expected to earn in the tutored course.
- 13. Students receiving tutoring in the study perceived TAP as a program which increased their academic success, aided them in improving their own academic abilities; and assisted them in dealing with their academic problems.

Implications for Future Programming

The results from the analysis of the questions and experience with the program implicate the following:

- 1. Minority students should be monitored more closely in their progress and accessability to TAP in order to facilitate their use of the program.
- 2. RASSL and TAP programming should be more closely linked in order to maximize the utilization of both.
- 3. The tutoring process should incorporate student behavioral goals which can be evaluated.
- The tutoring process should be evaluated at least midway through the contract period to allow for any necessary revisions.
- 5. Support service staff such as Minority Student Services and Students Older Than Average should combine time and expertise with TAP on cooperative workshops geared to those problems experienced by most students.
- 6. Tutor and Tutor Consultant training should be focused on the most common problems presented by students.
- 7. The tutor's initial training should be oriented towards the development of personal leadning objectives.
- 8. The tutors should be hired on the basis of: (a) a favorable attitude toward growth and learning, (b) the presence of criterion referenced skills, and (c) openness to learning.
- 9. Orientation training should be continued with follow-up tied to individual learning objectives.
- 10. Follow-up training should emphasize the tutor's ability to assess student progress and develop alternate learning strategies.

Implications for Future Program Evaluations

The following suggestions are based on experience:

- 1. The problem identification sheet should be revised in order to incoff porate more criterion referenced strengths and weaknesses relating to course grade.
- 2. The effects of tutoring should be studied using a designed control group and semester and/or year follow-up to assess cumulative benefits.
- 3. Pre-post assessment tools to determine the impact of the Tutor Consultant and Tutors on student problem identification should be developed.
- 4. Criterion measures of tutor training which relate to student sugcess should be developed.
- 5. The impact of a student receiving a different grade than expected in terms of the student's perception of his/her own skills and TAP should be assessed.
- 6. A way of assessing the relative impact of RASSL and TAP and their combined effect on student skill development and academic achievement should be designed.

REFERENCES -

- Bandura. A. <u>Principles of Behavior Modification</u>. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1969.
- Carkhuff, R. R. Helping and Human Relations: A Primer for Lay and Professiona Helpers. Vol. 2. Practice and Research. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1969.
- McDougall, A. Southwestern College Tutoring Evaluation, Spring, 1974.
- Menges, R. J.; Marx, R.; and Trumpeter, P. W. Effectiveness of Tutorial Assistance for High-Risk STudents in Advanced College Courses. <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, 1972, 19, 225-230.
- Morriall, W. H. and Hurst, J. C. A Preventative and Developmental Role for the College Counselor. The Counselor. The Counseling Psychologist, 1971, Vol. 2, #4, 90-95.
- Oetting, E. R. A Developmental Definition of Counseling Psychology. <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, 1967, 14.
- Roueche, J. R. and Kirk, W. E. <u>Catching-Up</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publisher, 1973.
- Stice, J. E. The Personalized System of Instruction: The Keller Plan Applied in Engineering Education. Bureau of Engineering Teaching Bulletin, #4, December, 1971.
- Warnath, C. E. New Directions for College Counselors. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1973.

APPENDIĆES

	•••	, \		• . •	• .	· FÖR OFI	FICE USE
	4	. / \	ENROLLMENT		•	- Circle	e One
•		TU	TORIAL ASSIST	ANCE FORM		. ,	•
, '		Office	of the Dean	of Students	,	F.A.	V.A.
	•	• • ,			, .	s.s.	S. Pay
	1	,		Ì	, A	• •	,
Please fill o	ut a sche	dule form	in addition	to the enrol	lment for	rm.	ρ.
Name	<u> </u>	*		Social Securi		•	کسر :
	•		** `	Weight Securi	cy Number	·——	· ·
Austin Address	·	·	M	lajor		<u> </u>	
7		<u>\</u>		lassificatio	n 、	•	
Zip		· 4	47.	'.		• •	
· \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	'			thnicity			
Telephone 🕹			ប៉	.T. g.p.a. (a	pprox.)_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			н	rs.Presently	Enrolled		٠٠٠
•		•	•	•		·	
		issiaa aid					• • •
re you curren	tly rece	rving ald	from Student	Financial A	id?		•
re you curren	tly rece	iving ald	from Student	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	id?		•
re you curren	tly rece	rving ald	No	0	<u> </u>		
re you curren	tly rece	iving aid	• :-	0	<u> </u>	, please sp	pecify
re you curren	tly rece		No	0	<u> </u>	, please sp	pecify
•	•		No.	os	n	, please s	pecify
•	•		No.	os	n	, please sp	pecify
•	•		No.	os	n	, please sp	pecify
ow did you lea	arn about	the tuto	No. Ye:	s	n	, plæase sp	pecify
ow did you lea	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s			pecify
ow did you lead	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s		please sp	pecify
ow did you lead	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s			pecify
ow did you lead	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s			pecify
ow did you lea	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s			pecify
ow did you lea	arn about	the tuto	No Yes	s			pecify
ow did you lead	which ass	istance i	Yes Prial assistant s being reque	nce program?	Tex	kts	- J
ow did you lea	which ass	istance i	Yes Prial assistant s being reque	nce program?	Tex	kts	



flow do you think tutoring will aid you in your course(s)?

OPTIONAL CHECKLIST: Often students requesting tutorial assistance name one or more of the following factors as contributing to problems with a course. Please check the items below which apply to you.

•	•		
This is optional - omit if you prefer	•	•	
	Course	#1 Course #2	Course #3
Background experience in area	(a)	(b)	(c).
1. provious schooling was inadequate	la	b,	c '
2. returning to school after being away	2a	b	C
3. (general difficulties with this type			<u> </u>
of course	3a`	b -	c
, or Godfac		D	<u> </u>
Content of course(s)	7		
4. new terminology	4-	:	_•
	· 4a	b	<u>c</u>
5. understanding new concepts	5a	,	¢
6. application of information learned	6a	b	c
7. /general requirements of course	7a	p	c .
Study techniques and other factors	· · · ·		
8. reading the assignments .	8a	b	c
9. listening and taking notes	9a	b	C
10. organizing work & study time	10a	b	C
11. memory	lla	b	c
12. grammar &/or writing skills	12a	b	C °
-13. concentration	13a	b.	С
.14. preparing for & taking tests	14a	b	C
15. lack of self confidence	15a ~	b	C
16. heavy work load	16a	b	c
17. negvous on tests	17a	b	C
18. medical problems	18a .	b .	c
19. lack of motivation	19a	b	c
20. difficulty in understanding the			
professor	20a	b	С
21. other:	21a	h	<u>c</u>
		~	<u> </u>
	· ·		-
Signa	ature:		
orgine .			
	date:	•	r
	.uace:	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	•
THTOD CONSTITUTION COMMENSES.		m C 'i-i-i-	1-
TUTOR CONSULTANT COMMENTS:		T.C. initia	18
Paulanaura amaniarra (amanana arai amanana			
Background experience & current assignments:	· ·		
	••	1	
•	•		
	· /	•	
Study Skill concerns:	; ,	<i>.</i>	-
•	· //		
, and the second	<i>"</i>		•
		•	
	f_{f}		
Other comments which may help you work with	this student	· / /	
o .			

TUTORIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

EXIT EVALUATION FORM

Please answer the following questions about yourself and your experience with our service this semester. The information will remain anonymous and confidential. We are interested in our effectiveness in helping you with your academic concerns. Your feedback can help us now and in the future.

y tutoring was paid for by: Financial Aids Veterans Self Special Services thnic Identity: Anglo Black _ Chicano Native American Oriental Owner Durse(s) in which you received tutoring eneral Information
OrientalOther Durse(s) in which you received tutoring
eneral Information
I was referred by T.A.P. to department or agence
My expectations of tutoring were: Met Not Met
The help 1 received was: very helpful 5 4 3 2 1 disappointing
I would recommend that my friends go there. YesNoMaybe
After tutoring, I feel more confident about my academic abilities: YesNo
I would feed comfortable about coming to the Tutorial Assistance Program again: YesNo
tutoring service:
A B C D F PASS FAIL What was your grade in the course(s) when you began tutoring?
course #1" #2 #3
Number of sessions for each course
course #1 #2 #3
Did you receive individual or group? [Place (G) or (I)]
course #1 #2 #3
Would you use this service again? yes no maybe

to your course? Good experiences, bad experiences?

Course:

TUTOR EVALUATION

We would appreciate your candid observations of your tutor this semester. It is important for us to be able to know how our staff are relating to others. The information will remain anonymous. You will be helping in designing our training and hiring procedures for future students.

		<u> </u>		
	Excellent	Satisfactory	Fair	Poor
Knowledge of Subject Matter: Displayed skill and comfort with subject.		1		
Flexibility: Was able to change approaches and displayed innovation when faced with obstacles.				
Patience: Displayed the ability to work at a rate that was com- fortable for me.			٠.	
Sense of Humor: Personable and warm without being threatening or distracting.				·
Stimulating: Was able to excite your interest in the subject.				
Encouraged Independence: Was able to develop your confidence realistically.		,		
Dependable: Was on time and carried through on commitments.		3	-	
Understanding: Was able to listen and communicate clearly what was said.	e .			
Equality: Had the ability to create a "we" attitude toward teaching and learning.			i	
Awareness of Cultural Differences: Had the ability to relate to the special concerns I had which might have been missed by someone less sensitive.				

COMMENTS WHICH YOU WOULD USE TO DESCRIBE YOUR TUTOR:



Tutor's name:

STUDENT TUTOR EVALUATIONS

Your involvement in this program is greatly valued by the TAP staff. Therefore, your feedback to us is of primary importance in our evaluation of this program this semester and in making plans for the next. Please be as complete as you can in answering the questions below. If there are any additional comments, suggestions, etc. you wish to give us, please feel free to include them.

•										•
Į.	Den 	ographics				•		٠, ١	.•	
÷	1.	Fresh. Soph.	Junior	Senior	Grad	uate		Other	6	
				-	7 -	•		•	~	- پرور
	2	Subjects tutored in:				•				<u> </u>
						••				
				<u> </u>		•	-	•		
,	3.	Sex: MaleFemale	B		Age	3				
	٠,	T Children	-	**	2191		1.0		•	
	4.	Major:			•	!				
	•	· ·					_			
.1.	Tut	oring Ses sion s	· -	ŧ						
1				•			_			
	l.	Were the application for	rm and tutor	r consulta	ant comme	ents	usefu	l in	the	
		first session?	•.					4		
		very useful		2 1						
		very userul	5 4 3	, 2 I	not. us	serur			•	
		What would you like to s	see accompli	ishod in d	the inter	ານ ຳ ວຸນັ້	-to h	eln V	ou in	the
	,	first session?	see decompri	ranca in	ine incei	/		CIP 1		Circ
				•		/	Ą.			•
			•							
	•	<u> </u>				,				
						•				
			**			•				
	2.	What problems were your							f con	cern)
		very importan	it 5 4	3 2	1.		impor	tant	, 	•
		subject matter			5	4	3	2 :	L `	
		study skillssubject fear	·		5	4	3	2	L .	-
		background lacking	,		5	4	. 3	2 :	L <i>7</i> .	3.
-		fear of prof/working wit	.h nwof			4		;.2 2	L	
		confidence	n pror		5	4	_	_	<u>L</u> !	
	,	personal problems			5	4		2	1	٠
	•	anxiety			5	-	· 3	2	<u>.</u>	
•	· -	apathy				4	_	2	• !	
		other			5	4	.3	2	•	
					•			٠.		
	3.	What resources did you u	se to help	your stud	lents? (c	heck	and :	rank f	or	
		effectiveness)	••	*						
		used very helpful	5 4	3 2	l lit	tle t	o no	help	, <u>,</u> ,	•
		other text material	s		5	4	3	2]	•	•
	-	tutor consultants-			5	4	3	2 1		
		study skills materi	als		5	4	3	2]	. •	
		other students			5	4	' 3	2 1		-
		professors			5	4	3	2 I	. •	
		professorsyour personal exper	iences		5	4	3	2 1	,	•
		other campus resour	ces (which	ones)	 -	_				
•••					5	4	3	2 1		•
		Other s		<u> </u>			_			
		•			5	14	3	2 1	. •	

		· ·	
`	4.	In what ways did you measure the progr	ess of your students? Which of these
•	, ,	criteria did you uso? (Chask and mink	ess of your students: which of these
		criteria did you use? (Check and rank	on scale or userumess)
		used useful 5 4 3 2	1 · not useful
		supplementary exercices	<u>-</u> 5 4 3 2 1
		ability to do homework	5 4 3 2 1 ·
	1	ability to do homework	5 . 4 3 2 1.
•			
		kinds of questions asked	
		ability to work independently test results/grades	5 4 3 2 1
•		test /results/grades	5 4 3 2 1
		other	5 4 3 2 1
		the second secon	
	r5.	their helpful on the manner to the	÷
	, •	How helpful, on the average, was tutor	ing for your students?
•			•
		very helpful 5 4 3	2 l not helpful
/*	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(6.	How much time ner student ner week d	id you average spending in preparation?
	_	now and it cline, per student per week, d.	id And greighe shelldilld in biebaracious
7	-		
	.7.	For your subject area what would be an	optimum length of time for a
	•	tutoring session?	opermun rengen or erme for a
•	• •	cuconing sepsibil.	
. ,	_		
	8.	State two things you learned from the e	experience of tutoring this semester.
ts		•	
-			
	ې		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	9.	How would you define the role of a tuto	or?
	•	•	
	•		
			•
		•	
•			. 0
III.	TRA	INING AND LEARNING EXPERIENCES	•
		a	;
	1.	Which subject area mostings did you att	43
•	Τ.	Which subject area meetings did you att	enar
0		Sciences Social Sciences Math	English Foreign Language
	2.	What were the major sources of your lea	rning with this program? (Leave blank
		those resources you did not use) . mlea	ac mark in terms of warfullers
		those resources you did not use.) plea	
			2 l of little help
		PEOPLE	MATERIALS
(PEOPLE	
		٠	. 7 .
		your students5 4 3 2 1	handouts5 4 3 2 1
	•	your students5 4 3 2 1 cther tutors5 4 3 2 1	handouts5 4 3 2 1 study skills books-5 4 3 2 1
	•	your students5 4 3 2 1 cther tutors5 4 3 2 1 tutor consultants-5 4 3 2 1	handouts5 4 3 2 1 study skills books-5 4 3 2 1 tapes5 4 3 2 1
	•	your students5 4 3 2 1 cther tutors5 4 3 2 1	handouts5 4 3 2 1 study skills books-5 4 3 2 1 tapes5 4 3 2 1
	•	your students5 4 3 2 1 cther tutors5 4 3 2 1 tutor consultants-5 4 3 2 1	handouts5 4 3 2 1 study skills books-5 4 3 2 1 tapes5 4 3 2 1

and an hard days and the		• .					MENTS	• .	
orientation		3 2	1						
first general sessions-		3 2	1						_
tutor group megtings		3 2	1 /						
subject area meetings		3 2	1/						
RASSL	5 4	3 2	ľ						
other	5 4	3 2/	1						
	,	1/	_						₹
-		/				_	*	•	• • •
In retrospect, what did	you 1	ike mo	st ab	out vo	ur tra	ininc		nina	ovnovi
Why?	• 7		7	out jo	ur cru		// Tear	ning	experi
			-f I	•					
			-	•					
. /				•					
•				••				•	
, What did you like least	+2 Co				•				
What did you like least help	_, CO.	no cruc	rive v	CLITICI	ısm and	ı sug	gesti	ons. w	ould
								,	
•			•			•			
		· .							
		•		•				*	
	_				•				-
What recommendations for	futu	re trai	ining	progra	ms cou	ıld y	ou mal	ke?	
wery important	5 4	4.3	2	1 1	ittle	or n	o imp	ortano	ce
			•			•	3		
Organization and pla	nning	tutori	ing se	essions	5.	4	3	2]	, 1
Comments:			.,		8	, -	9	~ .	b
1 .					=				
•		•		:	الم				•
. The tutoring process	:			•	•			•	
communication skills	· ~		·			А	3 ,		
Comments:)			- -		. 4	э `	, ∠ 1	
	1		•		•				
•	(•						-	
study skills	<u> </u>	- -			=		2	<u> </u>	,
Comments:						4	3	2 1	•
	-						•		
		•						•	
									<i>"</i>
	- -				· ·				2°
techniques/methods of	f tuto	ring			 -5	4	3	2 1	<i>?</i>
	f tuto	ring			5	4	3	· · 2 1	,
techniques/methods of	f tuto	ring			 5	4	3,	2 1	Ž,
techniques/methods or Comments:		•			5	4	3	2 1	<i>,</i>
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu		•			5	4	3	_	<i>?</i>
techniques/methods or Comments:		•			5	4		_	<i>?</i>
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu		•			- - 5	4		_	Ž
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu Comments:		•			- -5	4		_	
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu Comments:		•			- - 5	4	3	2 1	
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu Comments:		•			5	4	3	_	
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu Comments:		•			5 5	4	3	2 1	
techniques/methods of Comments: Ways of measuring stu Comments:		•			5 5	4	3	2 1	
techniques/methods of Comments: Ways of measuring stu Comments: Other	dent j	progres	ss		5 5	4	3	2 1	
techniques/methods of Comments: . Ways of measuring stu Comments: . Other	dent j	progres	ss		5 5 your o	4	3	2 1	lities
techniques/methods of Comments: Ways of measuring stu Comments: Other	dent j	progres	ss		5 5 your o	4	3	2 1	lities

	2 -		e.				
WORKING WITH TAP -	•	· ·	.•		•		•
		ţ					
l How effective and	or useful were th	e following	to vou as	+1	utor		
verv us	seful '5 4 \3	. 2 1 '	not usofi				
a. master schedu	le board		not user	14	٠,	_	•
b. intake interv	le board		5	. 4.	3		1
5. Incare interv			5	4.	3	2 . 🥕	
o. cime bilects				. 4.	.3	2 .	
d. weekly report	forms		5	4	3	2,	1
					3	2	1
f. assignment sh	eet (small carbon)		5	4	3		1
g. student "no s	how" carbons		5	4	3 `	2 .	
h your weekly s	chedule		5	4	3	2 .	~ 1
i. other			5	4	3	2	1
ecommendations:	·	 _		4		2	.
		• "				100	· **
~ /	-				• •	•	,
	•	•					
	•	•	• 9	*			
. (New tutors this s	semester) How were	e you orient	ed? tape		in r	ersor	1
	• :	•			- *		
Rate the effective	eness of the session	on in inform	ing vou of	f.			
very effec	ctive 5 4	2 2 1	not effe	 -tiv-		-	- "
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				!		
procedures and for	me	·	-			a	
procedures and for	ms		<u>-</u> -5	4	3 .	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate	ms erials		5 5	4 4	3	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate	ms		5 5	4 4	3	_ `	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object	erialsctives of TAP in tu	toring	5 5 5	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object What learning aids	erialserialserials	ntoring	5 5 5 efulness a	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object What learning aids very usefu	erialserialserialserials	ntoring nk as to use 2 1 not	5 5 5 efulness a	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object What learning aids very usefu	erialsctives of TAP in tu	ntoring nk as to use 2 1 not	5 5 5 efulness a	4 4 4	3 3 3	2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object what learning aids very useful blackboards.	erials	ntoring	5 5 5 efulness a useful	4 4 and e	3 3 3 ffect	2 1 2 1 ivene	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object what learning aids very useful blackboards.	erialserials	ntoring	5 5 5 efulness a useful	4 4 and e 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1	
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes.and text	erialserials	ntoring	55 efulness as useful	4 4 and e 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object what learning aids very useful blackboards	crialscrialscrialscrials	ntoring	55 efulness as useful	4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes.and text scratch paper tape_recorder	erials	itoringink as to use 2 1 not	55 efulness as useful	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	rms	itoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	erials	itoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	rms	itoringink as to use 2 1 not	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes, and text scratch paper dictionaries tape recorder study skills books	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards; old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards; old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes, and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other	rms	ntoring	555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 ffect 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 7 1	ess)
what learning aids very usefu blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other	rms	nk as to use 2 l not	5555555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	(ss)
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object what learning aids very useful blackboards old notes and text scratch paper tape recorder study skills books other ggestions?	crials	nk as to use 2 l not	55555555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 •	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	(ss)
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object what learning aids very useful blackboards. Old notes and text scratch paper dictionaries study skills books other ggestions?	crials	nk as to use 2 l not	5555555555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 •	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	(ss)
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object. What learning aids very usefur blackboards. Old notes, and text scratch paper. Itape recorder. Study skills books other gestions?	crials	nk as to use 2 l not	55555555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 •	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	(ss)
procedures and for resources and mate attitude and object. What learning aids very usefur blackboards. Old notes, and text scratch paper. Odictionaries study skills books other gestions? For tutors who par reactions to the process of the proces	crials	nk as to use 2 l not	55555555555	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 •	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2 1 ivene 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	(ss)

. Rank the value of the following ideas for supplementary aids and programs as they would interest you and/or your students.

g	rea			you e-n	o valu	_	o yo					 ilu
	5	4	3	2	. 1		5	4	3	. 2	. 1	
review groups on special topics earl in the semester (by subject area and by study skills)	y 5	4	3	2	1		5	4	31	?	1.	
old exams from professors	5	, 4	3	2	1		5	4		2	.1	,
checklist of course objectives for assessing student progress	· 5	4	3	2	.i		∜ 5	4	3	2 .	1	
special sessions covering study approaches to specific courses	5	4	. , 3	2	1		5	4	ş	2	, 1	
lists of supplementary texts and references at Reserve desk		•					•			. •	· · ·	•
in UGL	5	4	3	2	1	•	5	4	3	2	1.	•
special materials for courses available here	5	4	3	2	1.		5	4	,	2	1	•
tapes on special topics related to tutoring	5	4	· 3	2	1		5		3	··· 2	1	
other:	5	4	3	2	1		5	4	3	ż .	1	

Thank you for taking the time, energy, and thought to complete this long yet vital feedback/evaluation for us. If you have any other ideas or comments you would like to express, please do so. Thank you for working with us.

1/19/76 WAB/sp